105 Zimbabwe

	Result Poli	itical Trans	sformation	1											
	Trend	d in Democ	ratic Develo	opment (2001 – 2005)						Result Management Performance				ance	
	Result Economic Transformation										L	evel of Dif	ficulty		
	Trend in Economic Development (2001 – 2005)														
			Status	Index	Countries	Ranking 2006	Ranking 2003	Ranking 2003	Ranking 2006	Countries	Managemen	t Index	П		
													П		119 Countries in alphabetical of
		9.36 A	9.45 9.29		Slovenia Estonia	1 2	2	_ 3	1 2	Mauritius Chile		7.57 7.51		8 8.7 1 8.9	Status Index Management 112 Afghanistan 37 Albania
	9.45 A 9 9.35 • 9	0.00	9.23 9.18	Market-based demo-	Czech Republic Taiwan	3	2	4	3	Botswana Slovenia	Successful	7.44 7.41	3.9	9 8.6 2 9.2	85 Algeria 104 Angola
	9.40 • 8 9.20 • 8	3.93 A	9.16 9.06	cracies, consolidated or in a process of	Hungary Slovakia	5	1 2	11	5	Taiwan Slovakia	management	7.37 7.32	2.4		24 Argentina 44 Armenia
	9.25 • 8 8.90 • 9	3.79	9.02 8.99	consolidation	Lithuania South Korea	7	2	1 8	7 8	Estonia South Korea		7.29 7.25	1.9	9 8.9 1 8.8	82 Azerbaijan 46 Bahrain
Legend:	9.20 • 8 9.10 • 8	3.61 •	8.90 8.85		Poland Chile	9 10	7 8	2 12	9 10	Lithuania Czech Republic		7.00 6.95		8.5 8 8.6	55 Bangladesh 83 Belarus
	9.10 A 8 9.40 • 8	3.32 A 3.00 •	8.71 8.70		Croatia Costa Rica	11 12	15 11	14 14	11 12	South Africa Croatia		6.93 6.88	3.8 2.!	8 8.0 5 8.3	44 Benin 51 Bolivia
Status Index The Status Index's overall result		7.43 A 3.11 A	8.66 8.20		Uruguay Latvia	13 14	13 12	14 6	13 14	Brazil Uruguay		6.86 6.85	2.2	8.0 2 8.3	37 Bosnia-Herzegovina16 Botswana
represents the mean value of the scores for the dimensions	8.58 • 7 8.45 • 7	7.75 • 7.50 •	8.17 7.98		Mauritius Botswana	15 16	- 14	12 21	15 16	Hungary Latvia		6.81	2.0	8.4 8.1	20 Brazil 16 Bulgaria
"Political Transformation" and "Economic Transformation".		7.50 • 7.25 • 7.57 • 7.57	7.98 7.98		Bulgaria South Africa -	16 16	18	23	17 18	Senegal Ghana		6.77	6.2	7.4	69 Burkina Faso 101 Burundi
The mean value was calculated using the exact, unrounded values for both these dimensions, which,	7.90 • 7	7.79	7.89 7.84	Good prospects for	Romania Brazil	19 20	21 22	22	19 20	Costa Rica Turkey		6.63 6.52	5.0	8 8.0 7.3	89 Cameroon
in turn, were derived from the ratings for the five political criteria (based on 18 indicators) and the		5.46 • 0.21 • 7.68 •	7.58 7.28 7.26	consolidation of a market-based democracy	Jamaica Singapore Thailand	21 22 23	17 19 23	20 4 14	21 22 23	Bulgaria Mali Poland	Successful	6.51 6.44 6.36	6.4	7.7 7.0 7.8	108 Central African Rep.97 Chad10 Chile
seven economic criteria (based on 14 indicators). The table shows	7.85 • 6	7.68 • 5.57 • 5.46 •	7.26 7.21 7.21	uemociacy	Argentina India	24 24	25 25 29	25 60	24 25	Romania Madagascar	management with weaknesses	6.33	3.8	7.8 3 7.3 5 6.8	85 China 48 Colombia
rounded scores for political and economic transformation as well as for the Status Index's overall	7.70 • 6	5.61 • 5.71 •	7.15 7.13		Namibia Mexico	26 27	20	19 37	25 25 27	Mongolia El Salvador	Weakinesses	6.31	5.3	7.0 7.0	117 Congo, DR 12 Costa Rica
result. In some cases, therefore, the overall result differs slightly from the mean value.		5.64 •	7.10 7.08		Panama Macedonia	28 29	- 34	55 43	28 29	Niger Mozambique		6.13	7.9	6.4	111 Côte d'Ivoire 11 Croatia
	7.35 • 6 7.90 • 6	5.64 •	7.00 6.99		El Salvador Ghana	30 31	25 59	31 25	30 31	Mexico Jamaica		6.03 6.02	4.0	7.0	96 Cuba 3 Czech Republic
Political Transformation	7.10 △ 6	5.82 🔺	6.96 6.95		Ukraine Serbia and Montenegro	32 33	44 29	43 47	32 32	India Namibia		5.98 5.98		6.6	42 Dominican Republic 63 Ecuador
The score for "Political Transformation" is obtained by	7.05 A 6	5.79 •	6.92 6.64		Turkey Senegal	34 35	25 35	30 95	34 35	Tanzania Georgia		5.92 5.91	6.3 5.9	6.5	90 Egypt 30 El Salvador
calculating the mean value of the ratings for the following criteria: • Stateness	6.00 A 77.25 A 5	7.25 A 5.96 A	6.63 6.61		Sri Lanka Albania	36 37	37 38	37 84	36 37	Singapore Sierra Leone		5.78 5.73		6.0	113 Eritrea 2 Estonia
Political ParticipationRule of Law	6.85 - 6	5.43 •	6.61 6.57		Bosnia-Herzegovina Peru	37 39	50 38	25 _	38 39	Benin Panama		5.68 5.65	6.4 4.3	6.5	92 Ethiopia 61 Georgia
 Stability of Democratic Institutions Political and Social 	7.50 • 5	5.86 •	6.45		Madagascar Philippines	40 41	50 46	35 43	40 41	Malaysia Uganda		5.56 5.55	6.3	6.0	31 Ghana 70 Guatemala
Integration		5.43	6.36		Dominican Republic	42	25	39	42	Macedonia		5.54		6.3	91 Guinea
- Formaria Tomafannation		5.54 • 5.43 • 1.93 •	6.29 6.26 6.26		Mongolia Armenia Benin	43 44 44	29 46 41	51 51 55	43 44 45	Zambia Argentina Nigoria		5.52 5.41 5.33	5.8 3.4 7.9	4 6.3	107 Haiti 53 Honduras 5 Hungary
Economic Transformation The score for "Economic Trans-	4.92 A 7 5.70 • 6	7.50 A	6.21		Bahrain Russia	46 47	50	35 24	46 46	Nigeria Albania Sri Lanka		5.32 5.32	4.9	6.0	24 India 53 Indonesia
formation" is obtained by calculating the mean value of the ratings for the following criteria:	5.85 • 6	5.36 • 1.86 •	6.10	Deficiencies in terms of a market-based	Colombia Mali	48 48	46	14	48 49	Serbia and Montenegro Bolivia		5.31 5.29		6.0	95 Iran 114 Irag*
Level of Socioeconomic Development Organization of the Market	5.07 • 7 6.75 ~ 5	7.11 •	6.09	democracy	Malaysia Bolivia	50 51	29	25 39	50	Dominican Republic Peru		5.28 5.28	4.3	6.0	21 Jamaica 74 Jordan
and Competition · Currency and Price Stability	6.50 • 5 6.80 • 5	5.64 •	6.07 6.04		Zambia Honduras	51 53	50 41	63 25	52 53	Colombia Thailand	Management	5.25 5.24	6.0 4.!		66 Kazakhstan 60 Kenya
Private PropertyWelfare RegimeEconomic Performance		5.79 🔺	6.04 6.01		Indonesia Bangladesh	53 55	46 45	48 63	54 55	Honduras Nicaragua	with moderate success	5.13 5.10	5.4 5.8	5.7 5.6	78 Kyrgyzstan 106 Laos
· Sustainability	6.95 • 5 6.65 • 5	5.07 •	6.01 5.88		Mozambique Nicaragua	55 57	54 54	39 67	56 57	Armenia Guatemala		5.08 5.01	4.4 6.0		14 Latvia 62 Lebanon
Total Indicator (2004 - 2005)	6.60 • 5 5.85 • 5	5.11 • 5.79 •	5.85 5.82		Paraguay Uganda	58 59	54 61	73 66	58 59	Paraguay Bahrain		4.95 4.86	3.0	5.6 5.7	114 Liberia 93 Libya
Trend-Indicator (2001 – 2005) progress being made towards		5.36	5.78 5.73		Kenya Georgia	60 61	68 79	46 98	60 61	Indonesia Afghanistan		4.84 4.79	9.0		7 Lithuania 29 Macedonia
a market-based democracy no significant changes	6.20 - 5	5.82 •	5.71 5.65		Lebanon Ecuador	62 63	61 38	51 51	62 63	Morocco Bangladesh		4.77	6.! 7.2	2 5.0	40 Madagascar 77 Malawi
regression from a market- based democracy		1.86	5.65 5.55		Tanzania Venezuela	63 65	61 59	63	63 65	Malawi Bosnia-Herzegovina		4.73		5.3	50 Malaysia 48 Mali
based democracy		1.71	5.48 5.38 5.36		Kazakhstan Nigeria Niger	66 67 68	61 90 74	39 60 60	65 67 67	Ukraine Jordan Philippines		4.69 4.68 4.68	5.° 5.°		15 Mauritius 27 Mexico 75 Moldova
	6.12 • 4 5.65 • 4	1.18 • 1.57 • 1.89 •	5.34 5.27		Burkina Faso Guatemala	69 70	66	92 55	69 70	Kenya China		4.53 4.48	6.° 5.0	5.0	43 Mongolia 79 Morocco
Management Index	6.15 A 4	1.39 • 5.50 •	5.27 5.17		Sierra Leone Tunisia	70 72	105	76 79	70 72	Tajikistan Rwanda		4.48 4.44	7.4	4.8	55 Mozambique 118 Myanmar
This Index evaluates management by political decision-makers while	6.00 • 4	1.29 •	5.14 5.12		Papua New Guinea Jordan	73 74	58 69	67 58	73	Burkina Faso Tunisia		4.42 4.42	6.8	3 4.8	26 Namibia 81 Nepal
taking into consideration the level of difficulty. The Management	5.40 ~ 4 3.20 • 6	i.71 • 5.86 •	5.06 5.03	Unfavorable	Moldova United Arab Emirates	75 76	79 –	48 58	75 76	Vietnam Pakistan		4.35 4.33	6. ⁻	4.8	57 Nicaragua 68 Niger
Index's overall result is calculated by multiplying the intermediate result with a factor derived from the level	6.25 • 3 4.08 • 5	3.54 • 5.43 •	4.89 4.76	pre-conditions for a market-based	Malawi Kyrgyzstan	77 78	84 69	73 	77 77	Lebanon United Arab Emirates		4.20 4.20	5.° 4.8	4.7 3 4.8	67 Nigeria 116 North Korea
of difficulty evaluation.	4.23 🔻 4	1.75 • 1.96 •	4.62 4.60	democracy	Morocco Rwanda	79 80	61 85	67 75	79 80	Papua New Guinea Kyrgyzstan		4.18 4.17	6.9 5.0	4.6	84 Pakistan 28 Panama
.	3.70 🔻 5	5.39	4.55		Nepal	81	79	67	81	Nepal		4.15	8.9		73 Papua New Guinea
Management Performance The intermediate result is obtained	3.97 • 4	5.21 • 1.96 •	4.51		Azerbaijan Belarus Pakistan	82 83	72 85	76 90	82 83	Kazakhstan Ethiopia Control African Bonublic		4.13 4.12	8.		58 Paraguay 39 Peru 41 Philippings
by calculating the mean value of the ratings for the following criteria: • Steering Capability	4.23 • 4	5.29 • I.61 • I.61 • I.61	4.43 4.42 4.42		Pakistan Algeria China	84 85 85	69 85 77	108 67 82	84 85 86	Central African Republic Egypt Yemen	Management	3.90 3.88 3.87	6.3 8.4	3 4.2	41 Philippines 9 Poland 19 Romania
Resource Efficiency Consensus-Building International Cooperation	4.10 • 4	1.57 • 5.57 •	4.42 4.34 4.34		Cnina Cambodia Vietnam	87 87	74 88	103	87 87	remen Guinea Russia	with little success	3.84 3.84	6.9		47 Russia 80 Rwanda
anemational Cooperation	4.08 • 4	1.54 • 1.46 •	4.31		Cameroon Egypt	89 90	74 72	48	89 90	Ecuador Saudi Arabia		3.68 3.65		1 4.1	93 Saudi Arabia 35 Senegal
Level of Difficulty	4.28 • 4 4.17 • 4	1.11 •	4.20 4.19		Guinea Ethiopia	91 92	91 108	81 110	91 92	Algeria Liberia		3.63 3.62	6.2	2 4.0	33 Serbia a. Montenegro70 Sierra Leone
The level of difficulty evaluation takes into account the structural	3.00 • 5 2.57 • 5	5.00 🛕	4.00		Libya Saudi Arabia	93 93	88 79	84 67	93 94	Angola Cambodia		3.57 3.53	8.2	2 3.7 3 3.8	22 Singapore 6 Slovakia
constraints on political management. It is obtained by calculating six indicators that evaluate a country's	3.37 • 4	1.21 • 1.50 •	3.98 3.93		Iran Cuba	95 96	77 91	79 76	95 95	Azerbaijan Moldova		3.50 3.50	6.		1 Slovenia 119 Somalia
structural conditions, traditions of civil society, intensity of conflicts,	4.07 • 3	1.18 • 3.57 •	3.86 3.82		Chad Togo	97 98	93 96	84 101	97 98	Laos Sudan		3.47 3.43	9.0	3.7	16 South Africa 8 South Korea
level of education, economic per- formance and institutional capacity.	3.00 • 4	8.93 • 1.25 •	3.82		Yemen Syria	98 100	105 96	82 90	99 100	Cameroon Chad		3.26	8.0	3 3.5	36 Sri Lanka 110 Sudan
	3.60 • 3	3.54 • 3.39 •	3.58 3.50		Burundi Tajikistan	101 102	100	100	101 102	Iran Libya		3.17	4.8	3 3.5 3 3.6	100 Syria 4 Taiwan
Iraq* Iraq was not included in the Management Index because of the extremely		3.79 • 3.43 •	3.46		Uzbekistan Angola	103 104	93	95	103 104	Venezuela Syria		3.03 2.85	6.5	3.1	102 Tajikistan 63 Tanzania
ment Index because of the extremely imited nature of the local political elite's capabilities for independent	2.83 • 3	2.79 ~ 3.86 •	3.38	Serious obstacles	Zimbabwe Laos	105 106	108	92	105 106	Haiti Burundi		2.84		2.8	23 Thailand 98 Togo
action and decision-making during the time period examined.	3.32 ▼ 3	3.21 ▼ 3.14 •	3.28 3.23	to a market-based democracy	Haiti Cenral African Republic	107 108	93	98 104	107 108	Belarus Cuba	Palled	2.74	5.3		72 Tunisia 34 Turkey
Ukraine** The Management Index limits	2.30 • 4	3.82 • 1.04 • 3.43 ▼	3.20 3.17 3.14		Turkmenistan Sudan Côte d'Ivoire	109 110 111	96 96 79	107 110	109 110 111	Congo, DR Togo Eritrea	Failed or non-existent management	2.47 2.28 2.18	9.3 6.8		109 Turkmenistan 59 Uganda 32 Ukraine
its assessment to the political management of the Kuchma	2.97 🔺 3	3.43 ▼ 3.07 ▲ 2.57 ▼	3.14 3.02 2.94		Cöte d'Ivoire Afghanistan Eritrea	111 112 113	79 115 103	104 104 108	111 112 113	Eritrea Uzbekistan Myanmar	management	2.18 2.17 2.04	6.	1 2.3 1 2.4 1 2.1	32 Ukraine76 United Arab Emirates13 Uruguay
government, as Victor Yushchenko only took office at the end of the time period examined (January 23,	2.68 • 2	2.89 • 2.39 •	2.79		Iraq Liberia	114 114	108	110	114 115	Zimbabwe North Korea		2.00		3 2.2	103 Uzbekistan 65 Venezuela
2005). Therefore, a meaningful assessment of the new government's political management was not	2.70 • 2	2.64 •	2.67		North Korea Congo, DR	116 117	100	92	116 116	Côte d'Ivoire Turkmenistan		1.83	8.0	1.9	87 Vietnam 98 Yemen
yet possible.	1.65 • 2	2.11 •	1.88		Myanmar	118	112	110	118	Somalia		1.55	9.		51 Zambia

Somalia